

Date: Wed, 13 Apr 94 04:30:12 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #170
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Wed, 13 Apr 94 Volume 94 : Issue 170

Today's Topics:

ARRL rpt on preferred calls
Green Card Lottery- Final One? (2 msgs)
Incentive Licensing
LICENSING DELAYS
MARYMAC,

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 13 Apr 1994 01:10:21 GMT
From: swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!
news.umbc.edu!eff!news.kei.com!yeshua.marcam.com!zip.eecs.umich.edu!
newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!news1.@@ihnp4.ucsd.edu
Subject: ARRL rpt on preferred calls
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Well, it sounds fairly reasonable except for one clause, that being (of
course) the stipulation that calls be limited to the current call
district.

Naturally, coming from Newington (in the 1 district) I would expect this
from their committee. 1 area and 0 area calls are the most desireable
as the most easily spell english language words. For example :

N1CE, W1SE, WH0A, N0CALL, WH0OPS and W1NS all come to mind as rather
interesting and fun calls.

If the poor folks stuck in 2,3,4,5,6,7,8,and 9 had a chance at these calls, they would be gone in a flash!

Petition the league so they give us all a chance!

73 =paul= wb ==> 8 <== zjl

Date: 12 Apr 94 10:55:16 GMT
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!paladin.american.edu!
hookup!news2.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!news.clark.net!
josephl@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
Subject: Green Card Lottery- Final One?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Laurence Canter (nike@indirect.com) wrote:
: Green Card Lottery 1994 May Be The Last One!
: THE DEADLINE HAS BEEN ANNOUNCED.

This sorts of stuff shoulddn't be here. This guy jump from Win.net to Netcom and now is posting from Indirect.com. I guesst Netcom kicks him out too. This guy needed to be stop.

--

Joseph A. Liu (josephl@clark.net)
Clark Internet Services, Inc.
Information Superhighway 2000

Date: 12 Apr 1994 08:13:49 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!cs.utexas.edu!swrinde!emory!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!
paladin.american.edu!hookup!news2.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!indirect.com!
nike@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Green Card Lottery- Final One?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Green Card Lottery 1994 May Be The Last One!
THE DEADLINE HAS BEEN ANNOUNCED.

The Green Card Lottery is a completely legal program giving away a certain annual allotment of Green Cards to persons born in certain countries. The lottery program was scheduled to continue on a permanent basis. However, recently, Senator Alan J Simpson introduced a bill into the U. S. Congress which could end any future lotteries. THE 1994 LOTTERY IS SCHEDULED TO TAKE PLACE

SOON, BUT IT MAY BE THE VERY LAST ONE.

PERSONS BORN IN MOST COUNTRIES QUALIFY, MANY FOR FIRST TIME.

The only countries NOT qualifying are: Mexico; India; P.R. China; Taiwan, Philippines, North Korea, Canada, United Kingdom (except Northern Ireland), Jamaica, Dominican Republic, El Salvador and Vietnam.

Lottery registration will take place soon. 55,000 Green Cards will be given to those who register correctly. NO JOB IS REQUIRED.

THERE IS A STRICT JUNE DEADLINE. THE TIME TO START IS NOW!!

For FREE information via Email, send request to
cslaw@indirect.com

--

Canter & Siegel, Immigration Attorneys
3333 E Camelback Road, Ste 250, Phoenix AZ 85018 USA
cslaw@indirect.com telephone (602)661-3911 Fax (602) 451-7617

Date: Tue, 12 Apr 1994 17:23:27 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!news.moneng.mei.com!uwm.edu!
mixcom.com!kevin.jessup@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Incentive Licensing
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In <Co0n5p.BwH@eskimo.com> wrt@eskimo.com (Bill Turner) writes:

>Turn your nose up if you will, but there are those of us
>who think that having a license to transmit should be evidence of a
>strong desire to learn about radio and all that goes with it.

I agree. Now, if we could just define (and get everyone to agree)
what "all that goes with it" means.

>Personally, if they want to eliminate the CW requirement that would be
>fine with me. But I would like to see the FCC require some showing of
>real dedication to the art and science of RADIO and not just the desire
>to yak on the air.

No art. No mystery. Just sound scientific principles and the engineering required for their practical realization.

> For chit-chat, the telephone works fine, maybe
>better. Or the Internet, for heaven's sake. An Amateur Radio license
>should be issued only to people who are interested in radio itself.
>
>Flame defectors are on...
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

As well they should be! ;-))

I'll quote you again: "An Amateur Radio license should be issued to people who are interested in radio itself." I DISSAGREE!

Amateur radio needs to evolve BEYOND just "radio" and realize that what we are really involved with is communications. This includes the sciences of RF Theory, circuit design, analog and digital design, data transfer protocols and computer science. IMO, amateur radio testing and amateur radio in general needs to realize this and become a part of 1990s technology.

```
--
/`- _      kevin.jessup@mixcom.com
{      }/   Marquette Electronics, Inc
\      /    N9SQB, ARRL, Amateur Radio
|__*|      N9SQB @ WD9ANY.#MKE.WI.USA.NA
```

Date: Tue, 12 Apr 1994 19:28:20 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!
news.msfc.nasa.gov!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!usenet.ucs.indiana.edu!master.cs.rose-
hulman.edu!e106-2.rose-hulman.edu!supervisor@@
Subject: LICENSING DELAYS
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Im just getting into this medium, and this is the first day I have checked into this "net", so mabe this has all been hashed out and the FCC will begin May first with getting licenses back to our new hams in two weeks. But 12 weeks is absolutely assinine. I understand that there are 4 people in Gettysberg working on 610 apps. No they probably cant handle the influx of requests.

#1 Lets cut the beaurocratic excuses and get this mess cleaned up.
#2 Lets get our public servants organized so that they can do their jobs effeciantly for the nation.

Where is our ARRL ???? What are our ARRL lawyers in washington doing?

Again we dont want to hear all the reasons and excuses! lets get something done! NOW!

I once had a commanding officer in the navy tell his junior officers; Don't bring me problems, damn it, bring me solutions!

Solution # 1

ARRL go to washington to the FCC and TELL them that the league will cordinate the volenteers from the amateur fraternity to come to Gettsyberg and provide the labor to get them up to date. No excuses accepted. If they will not accept our help, then the league should sue the FCC in court to accept the help and start a national public awareness campagne to expose this mess.

Solution # 2

Offer, at no cost to the government, to study the processing procedures and come up with a list of solutions to make them more effeciant.

No I'm not waiting myself for a license. I've held mine for 34 years. But I do have a group of Boy Scouts who have worked sence last Oct. to pass their exams in Feb. and are still waiting like I'm sure hundreds, thousands of others are for their first ticket. I think MY league should be doing something, NOW!

Dave Gahimer
K9ZCE

Date: 12 Apr 94 18:05:23 GMT
From: sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!dfk@hplabs.hp.com
Subject: MARYMAC,
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Allan W. Ng (allanwng@intercom.com) wrote:
: All: Can someone please give me the phone number for MaryMac?

The company is MaryMac located in Kady, TX.
Their number is 1-800-231-3680.

Date: Tue, 12 Apr 1994 12:44:27 GMT
From: brunix!pstc3!md@uunet.uu.net
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <Troyce-290394082614@idmb-secretary.tamu.edu>, <Co0n5p.BwH@eskimo.com>, <041294035147Rnf0.77b9@amcomp.com>.[®]
Subject : Re: Incentive Licensing

In article <041294035147Rnf0.77b9@amcomp.com>, dan@amcomp.com (Dan Pickersgill) writes:

|> While I do feel that we need some level of 'appliance operator' to meet
|> the Public Service needs of the Service, those that wish to advance to
|> higher levels and privileges should show a desire to learn more about
|> Radio in general.

While I can basically agree with you, evidence today shows that those who wish to advance to higher levels aren't necessarily interested in learning more about radio in general; rather, they memorize the question pool and increase their code proficiency - but really don't *learn* anything.

(ObCodeReference: Yes Dan, you can still increase your code proficiency and be a lid. Code is good! Code is bad! Nyah! Nyah!)

When I was first introduced to the hobby 10 years ago, I was introduced to many Amateur Extras who could answer any of my questions. The AE was, in a sense, a vat of knowledge who, if s/he didn't happen to know the answer to a question, knew another AE who did. I respected these people; they represented the pinnacle of amateur radio, and set an example of what I should strive to become myself.

Today, I hear "Extras" asking questions like "how do I build a dipole?" Why? Do these people represent that same pinnacle of amateur radio which I once respected? I don't think so.

MD

--
-- Michael P. Deignan
-- RI Center For Political Incorrectness & Environment Ignorance
-- 'Have you hugged your chainsaw today?'

Date: 13 Apr 94 09:41:00 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!amcomp!
dan@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <Co0n5p.BwH@eskimo.com>, <041294035147Rnf0.77b9@amcomp.com>, <1994Apr12.124427.10873@cs.brown.edu>

Subject : Re: Incentive Licensing

md@pstc3.pstc.brown.edu (Michael P. Deignan) writes:

```
>In article <041294035147Rnf0.77b9@amcomp.com>,
>  dan@amcomp.com (Dan Pickersgill) writes:
>
>|> While I do feel that we need some level of 'appliance operator' to meet
>|> the Public Service needs of the Service, those that wish to advance to
>|> higher levels and privileges should show a desire to learn more about
>|> Radio in general.
>
>While I can basically agree with you, evidence today shows that those who
>wish to advance to higher levels aren't necessarily interested in learning
>more about radio in general; rather, they memorize the question pool and
>increase their code proficiency - but really don't *learn* anything.
>
>(ObCodeReference: Yes Dan, you can still increase your code proficiency
>and be a lid. Code is good! Code is bad! Nyah! Nyah!)
>
>When I was first introduced to the hobby 10 years ago, I was introduced
>to many Amateur Extras who could answer any of my questions. The AE was,
>in a sense, a vat of knowledge who, if s/he didn't happen to know the
>answer to a question, knew another AE who did. I respected these people;
>they represented the pinnacle of amateur radio, and set an example of
>what I should strive to become myself.
```

The same is basically how I got interested in ham radio. I was in a novice class (about 6 years ago or so) and passed the 5 WPM on straight copy, then work forced me to drop out before taking the written.

And I could not agree more about the 'old time' Extras.

```
>Today, I hear "Extras" asking questions like "how do I build a dipole?"
>Why? Do these people represent that same pinnacle of amateur radio which
>I once respected? I don't think so.
```

Surprise, again we agree.

Dan N8PKV

--

The president [Clinton - Sworn Defender of the US Constitution] said he directed advisers to craft a policy allowing police to search public housing for weapons in the wake of a federal court order barring Chicago officials from conducting sweeps without search warrants.

-Source AP/Chicago Tribune 4/10/94

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #170
